	<u>I</u>					
1	of the State of California JANICE K. LACHMAN Supervising Deputy Attorney General LORRIE M. YOST, State Bar No. 119088 Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-2271					
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7	Attorneys for Complainant					
8	BEFORE THE					
9	STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
10						
11	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation	Case No. 2000-152				
12	Against:					
13	BRETT BYRON CORNELISON 524 Putnam Avenue	PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION				
14	Porterville, CA 93257					
15	Certificate of Registration to Practice Optometry No. 9861					
16	Respondent.					
17						
18	Complainant alleges:					
19	PARTIES					
20	1. Mona Maggio (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official					
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the State Board of Optometry, Department of Consumer					
22	Affairs.					
23	2. On or about March 6, 1992, th	ne State Board of Optometry issued				
24	Certificate of Registration to Practice Optometry Number 9861 to Brett Byron Comelison					
25	(Respondent). The Certificate of Registration to Practice Optometry was in full force and effect					
26	at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2008, unless					
27	renewed.					
28	<i>III</i>					

1	3. On or about January 25, 2000, the Board certified Respondent to treat with						
2	Therapeutic Pharmaceutical Agents pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 3041.						
3	Prior Discipline						
4	4. Effective August 9, 2004, pursuant to the Decision and Order in						
5	Accusation No. 2000-152, the Board revoked Respondent's Certificate of Registration to Practice						
6	Optometry No. 9861. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's certificate was						
7	placed on probation for a period of four (4) years, with certain terms and conditions. A copy of						
8	the Decision and Order in In the Matter of the Accusation Against Brett Byron Cornelison, Case						
9	No. 2000-152, is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference.						
10	JURISDICTION						
11	5. Grounds exist for revoking the probation and reimposing revocation of						
12	Respondent's Certificate of Registration to Practice Optometry Number 9861. Condition 14 of						
13	the Disciplinary Order, Case No. 2000-152, states, in pertinent part:						
14	Violation of Probation.						
15 16	If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and opportunity to be heard, may revoke the probation and						
17	carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed If, an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the probationary period shall be extended until the matter is final.						
19	6. Respondent has violated the Disciplinary Order, as set forth in the						
20	following paragraphs.						
21	FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION						
22	(Violation of Probation - Failure to Pay Costs)						
23	7. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation,						
24	Condition 13 of the Disciplinary Order, Case No. 2000-152, states, in pertinent part:						
25	Payment of Costs.						
26	Respondent is ordered to pay the Board the reasonable costs of						
27	investigation and prosecution of this matter established as \$20,201.75. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision respondent shall submit to the Board for						
28	its prior approval a plan of reimbursement to the Board in the form of periodic payments						

On or about October 30, 2006, an agreement was reached creating a payment plan whereby Respondent was to make an initial payment to the Board of \$1,262.60 and thereafter make payments in the amount of \$1,262.61 every month on the 30th day of the month for 15 months. Payments were to begin on November 30, 2006.

8. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition No. 13, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are that Respondent has failed to make 10 of the 15 agreed upon payments, made 2 payments late, is in arrears by \$13, 888.70, and has not made any payments since March 13, 2007, as set forth below.

10	Payment.#	Payment Due	Amount Due	Amount Paid	Date Paid	Balance
11						\$20,201.75
12	l (initial)	Nov. 30, 2006	\$1,262.60	\$1,262.61	Dec. 1, 2006	\$18,939.14
13	2	Dec. 30, 2006	\$1,262.61	\$2,525.22	Jan. 19, 2007	\$18,939.14
14	3	Jan. 30, 2007	\$1,262.61	-	-	\$16,413.92
15	4	Feb. 28, 2007	\$1,262.61	\$2,525.22	Mar. 13, 2007	\$13,888.70
	5	Mar. 30, 2007	\$1,262.61	-	-	\$13,888.70
16	6	Apr. 30, 2007	\$1,262.61	-0-	-	-
17	7	May 30, 2007	\$1,262.61	-0-		-
18	8	June 30, 2007	\$1,262.61	-0-	-	-
19	9	July 30, 2007	\$1,262.61	-0-		-
20	10	Aug. 30, 2007	\$1,262.61	-0-	-	· •
21	11	Sept. 30, 2007	\$1,262.61	-0-	• .	_
	12	Oct. 30, 2007	\$1,262.61	-0-	-	-
22	13	Nov. 30, 2007	\$1,262.61	-0-	-	-
23	14	Dec. 30, 2007	\$1,262.61	-0-	-	-
24	15	Jan. 30, 2008	\$1,262.61	-0-	· •	-
25	16	Feb. 28, 2008	\$1,262.61	-0-	-	-
26	///					

SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Violation of Probation - Failure to Submit Educational Program)

9. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 5 of the Disciplinary Order, Case No. 2000-152, states, in pertinent part:

Educational Program.

Within 90 days of the effective date of this decision, and on a annual basis thereafter, respondent shall submit to the Board for its prior approval an educational program or course to be designed by the Board which shall be not less than 40 hours per year for each year of probation.

10. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition No. 5, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are that Respondent failed to submit an educational program to the Board for its approval within 90 days of the effective date of the decision, and failed thereafter to submit programs for the Board's approval annually. Respondent furthermore has failed to ever provided to the Board an acceptable educational program.

THIRD CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Violation of Probation - Failure to Submit Periodic Reports)

11. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 6 of the Disciplinary Order, Case No. 2000-152, states, in pertinent part:

Practice Plan.

Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision respondent shall submit to the Board for its prior approval a plan of practice in which respondent's practice shall be monitored by another optometrist or other professional of which the Board approves, who shall provide periodic reports to the Board.

12. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition No. 5, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are that Respondent did not submit to the Board for its prior approval a plan of practice within 30 days of the effective date of the decision in Accusation No. 2000-152. Furthermore, a plan was made wherein monitoring reports were to have been submitted every six months by Respondent's employer, Dr. Soursa for a total of eight reports. However only one

such report dated September 28, 2005 was ever received by the Board. Seven of the eight reports due to the Board were never submitted. 3 FOURTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION (Violation of Probation - Failure to Submit Quarterly Reports) 4 5 13. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 10 of the Disciplinary Order, Case No. 2000-152, states, in pertinent part: 6 7 Compliance with Probation Surveillance Program. 8 Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation surveillance program including but not limited to allowing access to the probationer's optometric 9 practice(s) and patient records upon request of the Board or its agent. 10 14. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition No. 10, referenced above. The facts and circumstances 11 12 regarding this violation are that Respondent has not complied with the Board's probation 13 surveillance program in that he has failed to submit 15 of the 16 quarterly reports required by that 14 program. Respondent submitted one quarterly report on or about September 23, 2006, but no 15 other reports have been received by the Board. 16 **PRAYER** 17 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein 18 alleged, and that following the hearing, the State Board of Optometry issue a decision: 19 1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the State Board of Optometry 20 in Case No. 2000-152, and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed thereby revoking 21 Certificate of Registration to Practice Optometry Number 9861, issued to Brett Byron 22 Cornelison; 2. 23 Revoking or suspending Certificate of Registration to Practice Optometry 24 Number 9861, issued to Brett Byron Cornelison; and, 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.				
2					
3	DATED: August 5, 2008				
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	Moral Massi)				
9	MONA MAGGIO Executive Officer				
10	State Board of Optometry Department of Consumer Affairs				
11	State of California				
12	Complainant				
13					
14					
15	SA2008100607				
16	10439182.wpd				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					